IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURTED NOV 1 3 2009

KURT JONES :

FRANKLIN TENNIS, et al.

V.

NO. 09-760

CIVIL ACTION

QRDER

AND NOW, this 13 day of 100 , 2009, after *de novo* review per Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b), 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1), and E.D. Pa. Local Rule 72.1(IV)(b):

- Petitioner's Objections to the Magistrate Judge's Report and Recommendation are overruled.
 - 2. The Report and Recommendation is approved and adopted, except for:
 - a. Footnote 6 on page 5, as there is no question regarding timeliness, and footnote 4 on the same page, since the habeas petition was filed by counsel, while the mailbox rule only applies to *pro se* habeas petitions;
 - b. Pages 5-7, since there is no question regarding exhaustion; and
 - c. Pages 8-9 discussing and citing *Williams v. Taylor*, 529 U.S. 362, 389 (2000). *This is error*. The Magistrate cites not the *Williams* majority, but the dissent. The current habeas standard of review is stated in *Williams*, 529 U.S. at 402-413(opinion of Justice O'Connor as to part II).
 - 3. The petition for a writ of habeas corpus is denied.
 - 4. A certificate of appealability is denied, as petitioner has not made a sub

Linear VI Lane South Lane

NOV 1 G 2009

CLERK OF GOURT

stantial showing of the denial of a constitutional right, as per 28 U.S.C. § 2253.

5. The only Nov 12 is Vacanted.

BY THE COURT:

BERLE M. SCHILLER, J.